

Mr. Rother – Mr. Getz and I met to go over the Stormwater Prevention Plan primarily and we made some minor revisions to the bio-retention areas on that. We also prepared a plan that was in response to comments from Mr. Getz’s office that shows a proposed tree planting plan, stop signs, stop lines and there was a sewer line that just touched a point on the wetland that we moved about 10ft. over so it is not touching.

Mr. Aulen – You have made an application for the annexation for the Town property?

Mr. Rother – We did. The annexation was submitted to both the Village and the Town for about a 0.6 of an acre of land that is proposed to be annexed. I think as Mr. Myrow had said it is our understanding that the SEQR review has to conclude with this Board before those Boards can take action on the annexation.

Mr. Aulen - You have also received comments from the OCPD which we should adhere to. I just want to bring that to your attention.

Mr. Rother – Yes we have and it is your Boards prerogative.

Mr. Aulen – If we don’t agree with it there has to be a Super Majority to not agree with it according to their communication.

Mr. Rother – I think the Board has both options...

Mr. Aulen – I just want to make sure that you received that particular communication and have read carefully what they said.

Mr. Rother – What we are hoping to accomplish this evening is, not only do we need to have a public hearing on the DEIS, which we are in the middle of, but the Board also needs to have a public hearing on the subdivision.

Mr. Aulen – That is correct.

Mr. Rother – That is where we would notify the neighbors within 300 ft. So we are hoping the Board is comfortable scheduling that so that perhaps in September we could have that meeting and then we could go on to the FEIS process addressing the comments we have received.

Mr. Aulen – Do you have your subdivision plans completed? Are they sufficient enough to schedule a public hearing?

Mr. Getz – What he has added in this past week does not change the layout of the project in any significant way. It provides more detail with the engineering, some of the calculations have been revised and some areas have been tweaked to make the utilities better, he has made some of the stormwater basins larger to comply with the Village and the State requirements. I am comfortable that their far enough along that you could schedule a preliminary subdivision public hearing.

Mr. Aulen – You are also aware of the comments we received from the Mayor?

Mr. Rother – Yes.

Mr. Aulen – And you will be addressing that?

Mr. Rother – Of course.

Mr. Patterson – The response to the questions that the residents have, is that prior to the FEIS or is it part of the FEIS?

Mr. Rother – That basically is the FEIS. The FEIS is a document that will take comments received here verbally from the public, written comments from the public, written comments from the Mayor, from County Planning and any other agency we circulated to, which I think was just the DEC and maybe the Dept. of Health also but they do not normally comment and it is

literally a you write the question and we respond with an answer. If there are several questions from several parties that cover the same topic they will get lumped together into one question and answer.

Mr. Aulen – It is an extension of the DEIS.

Mr. Rother – It is similar to the DEIS that we submitted to you and this Board has to be comfortable with the answers that were given and at that point we go to the last step which is to prepare a Findings Statement.

Mr. Dickover – The latest plan that has been presented shows the Affordable Housing Lots or are they not part of the plan?

Mr. Getz – They are shown.

Mr. Rother – The DEIS has both plans...

Mr. Dickover – Yes, but the latest drawings

Mr. Rother – The engineering plans that Mr. Getz has is the plan that shows the 45 lots which does not include the Affordable but the difference between the two is literally just taking 3 lots on the corner and cutting them in half.

Mr. Dickover – Has the engineering for that been drawn? Could you make a set of these plans because if we are going to do a subdivision hearing and getting comments from the County saying that they want to see these Affordable Housing put in, I think we should have the engineering for that.

Mr. Rother – The only engineering is the water and sewer...

Mr. Dickover – Have you done it already?

Mr. Rother – Yes.

Mr. Dickover – Can you add that as a sheet perhaps to the subdivision set so we have it in front of us or as a second set?

Mr. Rother – Yes. I envision that whichever plan the Board instructed me to perfect is the plan I would submit but I can give you both sets of plans, the changes are very minor.

Mr. Dickover – I think we should have them and they should be part of the Boards file upstairs for the public to examine prior to the public hearing. Can you get them upstairs within the next 7 to 10 days?

Mr. Rother – Yes.

Mr. Getz – Do you want a full separate set?

Mr. Dickover – I think they need to be up there for the public to examine if people are interested in it and this Board certainly needs to have it in front of them if you are going to consider the County's comments and either adopt them or not.

Mr. Aulen – The County's comments are very interesting, they do recommend based on the County Master Plan Affordable Housing and I would like some clarification on the Executive Order, it is a little confusing.

Mr. Rother – It is a little confusing for all of us. My understanding is it is an effort on the County to have a coordinated review among their County Health Dept. and the County DPW. Right now things get sent to one department or another and they are looking to have everyone look at it together at the outset of the project.

Mr. Getz – I spoke with some engineers at the Health Dept. about the order and asked if it changes anything for applicant or the agency and they are not clear on some of the fine points

either, they say it is some sort of a process in flux. But, for a project like this they feel that there review will not be changing and will be looking at the same issues that they already have in the past and followed similar procedures.

A MOTION was made by Bill Olsen, seconded by Jesse Gallo and carried to continue the Public Hearing on the DEIS. (5 Ayes)

A MOTION was made by Karl Scheible, seconded by Bill Olsen and carried to schedule a Public Hearing for the subdivision application on September 20, 2018. (5 Ayes)

VILLAGE VIEW EXT. OF 28 LOT SUBDIVISION VILLAGE VIEW
APPROVAL

Mr. Aulen – The time on this application ran out on August 18, 018, however we changed the meeting so my discussion with the attorney indicated that it is acceptable to continue that as if it was on this evening as the extension ended.

Mr. Olsen – We will extend it for how long?

Mr. Aulen – 90 days.

Mr. Dickover – Do it 90 days from today.

A MOTION was made by Bill Olsen, seconded by Jim Patterson and carried to extend the approval until November 16, 2018. (5 Ayes)

A MOTION was made by Jim Patterson, seconded by Karl Scheible and carried to adjourn the meeting. (5 Ayes)

Respectfully submitted;

Maureen J. Evans,
Planning Board secretary

